

## Set Theory – Spring 2003 – Homework 5

Name:

# Due April 16

Consider the following operations ( $\mathcal{F}$  is a class of sets of real numbers):

$$\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \left\{ \bigcup_{i < \omega} A_i \mid (A_i)_{i < \omega} \text{ is a sequence of elements of } \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

$$\delta(\mathcal{F}) = \left\{ \bigcap_{i < \omega} A_i \mid (A_i)_{i < \omega} \text{ is a sequence of elements of } \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

(So,  $\sigma$  computes the family of all countable unions of members of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\delta$  computes the family of all countable intersections of members of  $\mathcal{F}$ .)

Let  $\Sigma_0$  consist of all open sets of reals, and  $\Pi_0$  of all closed sets of reals.

Consider the following transfinite inductive definition:

$$\Sigma_{\alpha+1} := \sigma(\Pi_\alpha),$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha+1} := \delta(\Sigma_\alpha),$$

$$\Sigma_\mu := \bigcup_{i < \mu} \Sigma_i \text{ } (\mu \text{ limit ordinal}),$$

$$\Pi_\mu := \bigcup_{i < \mu} \Pi_i \text{ } (\mu \text{ limit ordinal}).$$

(Sets belonging to any of the “levels”  $\Pi_\alpha$  or  $\Sigma_\alpha$  are called **Borel sets**. The whole structure is called the **Borel hierarchy** for reasons you will see in the questions.)

1. Find  $A \in \Sigma_1 \setminus \Sigma_0$ ,  $B \in \Pi_1 \setminus \Pi_0$ . (Explain!)
2. Show that  $\alpha < \beta$  implies  $\Sigma_\alpha \subseteq \Sigma_\beta$ . (The same holds for the  $\Pi$  hierarchy, but you do not have to write that proof!)

3. Prove that for all ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $\Pi_\alpha \subseteq \Sigma_{\alpha+1}$ . (Again, the dual also holds:  $\Sigma_\alpha \subseteq \Pi_{\alpha+1}$  for every  $\alpha$ ... do not write this proof).

So, by the previous, the hierarchy consists of ‘interwoven’  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$ ’s.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 \Sigma_0 & \rightarrow & \Sigma_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & \Sigma_\alpha & \rightarrow & \Sigma_{\alpha+1} & \rightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow \\
 & & \nearrow & & \nearrow & & \nearrow & & \nearrow & & \nearrow \\
 \Pi_0 & \rightarrow & \Pi_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & \Pi_\alpha & \rightarrow & \Pi_{\alpha+1} & \rightarrow & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

(The arrows mean  $\subseteq$  above.) It is actually harder to prove that for  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we have *strict* inclusions.

4. **(This question is worth 50% of this homework!)** Prove that the hierarchy ‘stabilizes’ at level  $\omega_1$ :

$$\Sigma_{\omega_1+1} = \Sigma_{\omega_1} = \Pi_{\omega_1} = \Pi_{\omega_1+1}.$$

(Hint: use the fact that  $\aleph_1$  is regular.)

- Discussion 0.0.1** 1. *It is harder to see that there are in  $\mathbb{R}$  ‘arbitrarily complex’ Borel sets, below the level of complexity  $\omega_1$ . For this we have to prove that the inclusions above are strict, all the way up to  $\omega_1$ .*
2. *The last question also implies that, although many sets in Analysis are Borel, there are ‘very few’ Borel sets, from a set-theoretical perspective: the first levels (open sets/closed sets) are of size continuum (by the density of the rationals), by induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , each level is of size continuum, and there only  $\omega_1$  many levels.*
3. *Borel sets, although extremely important for analysis, are not the only ones studied there. An arbitrary continuous image of a Borel set may be outside of the Borel hierarchy...*